1	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359)	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468) Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067				
2	Jason McDonell (SBN 115084) JONES DAY					
3	555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104					
4	Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700	Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286				
	ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com	donn.pickett@bingham.com				
5	jmcdonell@jonesday.com	geoff.howard@bingham.com				
6	Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784) Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776)	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP DAVID BOIES (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)				
7	JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road	333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504 Telephone: (914) 749-8200				
8	Palo Alto, CA 94303					
9	Telephone: (650) 739-3939 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900	dboies@bsfllp.com STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177)				
	tglanier@jonesday.com	1999 Harrison St., Suite 900				
10	jfroyd@jonesday.com	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 874-1000				
11	Scott W. Cowan (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	sholtzman@bsfllp.com				
12	JONES DAY	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 50p7				
13	717 Texas, Suite 3300 Houston, TX 77002					
	Telephone: (832) 239-3939	Redwood City, CA 94070				
14	Facsimile: (832) 239-3600 swcowan@jonesday.com	Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114				
15	jlfuchs@jonesday.com	dorian.daley@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com				
16	Attorneys for Defendants					
17	SAP AĞ, SAP AMERICA, INC., and TOMORROWNOW, INC.	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., et al.				
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
20	OAKLAND DIVISION					
21						
22	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)				
23	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION, AND [PROPOSED]				
24	v.	FORM OF JUDGMENT AND ORDER, IN LIEU OF CASE				
25	SAP AG, et al.,	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT				
26	Defendants.					
27						
28						

STIPULATION, PROPOSED FORM OF JUDGMENT AND ORDER

By and through their respective undersigned counsel, plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation and Siebel Systems, Inc. ("Oracle") and defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc. and TomorrowNow, Inc. ("Defendants") (together, the "Parties") hereby submit this Stipulation, and Proposed Form of Judgment And Order (this "Stipulation"). The Parties believe this Stipulation eliminates the need for a Case Management Conference Statement. The Parties stipulate:

- 1. Following the 2010 trial, this Court granted judgment as a matter of law in 2011. See Dkt. 1081 at 18-20; Dkt. 1088 (clarifying Dkt. 1081); Dkt. 1162 (denying motion to clarify and denying leave to move for reconsideration). This Court also granted Defendants' new trial motion. See id. This Court ordered a new trial on actual damages conditioned on Oracle rejecting a remittitur to \$272 million. Oracle rejected the remittitur (see ECF No. 1107) and this Court scheduled a new trial. See ECF Nos. 1108, 1190 and 1192.
- 2. To save the time and expense of a second trial, and to expedite the resolution of the eventual appeal, the Parties in 2012 stipulated to entry of judgment in the amount of \$306 million, with each side reserving appeal rights. Dkts. 1209, 1213.
- 3. Oracle thereafter appealed the Court's 2011 rulings to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.
- 4. On August 29, 2014, the Ninth Circuit affirmed this Court's 2011 grant of judgment as a matter of law, as well as this Court's grant of a new trial conditioned on Oracle's rejection of a remittitur. Dkt. 1209. However, the Ninth Circuit also vacated this Court's ruling to the extent it set the 2011 remittitur at \$272 million and, instead, instructed this Court to offer Oracle the choice between a \$356.7 million remittitur and a new trial. *Id*.
- 5. Oracle intends to accept the revised remittitur. Therefore, the Parties stipulate to entry of judgment in the amount of \$356.7 million and \$2,468,758 of post-judgment interest, in the proposed form attached to this Stipulation as Exhibit A (the "Stipulated Judgment"), which will be the Court's final judgment in this matter.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	6. Pursuant to the Stipulated Judgment, SAP agrees to pay Oracle \$359,168,758 USD by wire transfer no later than November 28, 2014, pursuant to wire transfer instructions already provided to SAP by Oracle, provided the Court enters Judgment in this action by November 24, 2014. If the Court does not enter Judgment by November 24, 2014, then SAP agrees to pay Oracle \$359,168,758 USD by wire transfer, pursuant to wire transfer instructions already provided to SAP by Oracle, no later than three (3) business days after the Court enters Judgment.						
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.						
9	Dated: November 13, 2014 JONES DAY						
1011	By: <u>/s/ Tharan Gregory Lanier</u> Tharan Gregory Lanier						
12	Attorneys for Defendants SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and TOMORROWNOW, INC.						
13 14	In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X, the above signatory attests that						
	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.						
15 16	Dated: November 13, 2014 BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP						
17	By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Howard Geoffrey M. Howard						
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Siebel Systems, Inc.						
19	1						
20	PROPOSED ORDER						
21	Based on the parties' stipulation, and good cause being shown, IT IS SO ORDERED. The						
22	proposed form of judgment attached as Exhibit A will be entered as the Court's final judgment in						
23	this matter. All other existing dates and deadlines in this matter, including the November 20,						
24	2014 Case Management Conference, are hereby vacated.						
25							
26	IT IS SO ORDERED						
27							
28	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED FORM OF						

Dated: November 14 , 2014 By: Milton United t\J\udge

EXHIBIT A

Ï	Case 4:07-cv-01658-PJH	Document 1250	Filed 11/14/14	Page 6 of 8			
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	OAKLAND DIVISION						
11							
12	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,		Case No. 07-CV-1	658 PJH (EDL)			
13	Plaintiffs,		AMENDED JUD	GMENT			
14	V.						
15	SAP AG, et al.,						
16	Defendant	S.					
17 18	Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation, Amended Trial Stipulation and Order No. 1						
19	Regarding Liability, Dismissa	•	•				
20				·			
21	at Trial (Dkt. No. 965), Additional Trial Stipulation and Order Regarding Claims for Damages and Attorneys Fees (Dkt. Nos. 961 and 969), Order Re Motions For Partial Summary Judgment						
22	(Dkt. No. 762), Order Granting Motion to Dismiss in Part and Denying It in Part (Dkt. No. 224),						
23	Order Granting Defendants' Motion for JMOL and Motion for New Trial; Order Denying						
24	Plaintiffs' Motion for New Trial; Order Partially Vacating Judgment (Dkt. No. 1081), and the						
25	Ninth Circuit's August 29, 2014 Opinion and September 22, 2014 Mandate (Dkt. Nos. 1243-44),						
26	IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED AND ORDERED that:						
27	(1) JUDGMENT is entered against Defendant TomorrowNow, Inc. on Plaintiff						
28	Oracle International Corporation's claim for direct copyright infringement						
			Cos	AMENDED JUDGMENT se No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)			
			Cas	50 110. 07 CY-1050 IJII (EDL)			

and against Defendants SAP AG and SAP America, Inc. on Plaintiff Oracle International Corporation's claim for indirect copyright infringement. On these claims, Plaintiff Oracle International Corporation shall recover from Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc. and TomorrowNow, Inc. ("Defendants"), jointly and severally, (a) in the amount of \$356.7 million U.S. dollars (\$356,700,000 (US)), and (b) post-judgment interest of \$2,468,758 U.S. dollars, which are the entirety of the relief entered for these claims (not including the stipulation negotiated between the Parties regarding destruction of infringing materials).

- JUDGMENT is entered against Defendants on Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,
 Oracle International Corporation, and Siebel Systems, Inc.'s ("Oracle," and
 together with Defendants, "the Parties") claims for past and future
 reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including investigative costs)
 associated with Oracle's investigation and prosecution of its claims in this
 case, for which the Parties agreed that Oracle should recover, and has
 already been paid by Defendants, the amount of \$120 million
 (\$120,000,000).
- Corporation, and/or Siebel Systems, Inc., and against Defendant
 TomorrowNow, Inc. on all liability for all claims, including for violations of
 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(2)(C), (a)(4), (a)(5)(i), (a)(5)(ii), and (a)(5)(iii) (the
 Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act) and California Penal Code §§
 502(c)(2), (c)(3), (c)(6) and (c)(7) (California's Computer Data Access and
 Fraud Act), breach of contract, intentional interference with prospective
 economic advantage, negligent interference with prospective economic
 advantage, unfair competition, trespass to chattels, unjust
 enrichment/restitution, and for an accounting, without separate monetary

2526

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28